Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/642,911	OKAGAKI, MITSUNORI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ryan R. Yang	2672	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) Ryan R. Yang.	(3) Akryoshi Yamamoto (4) Samire Terasoma		
(2) Richard Bauer.	(4) Samire Terasoma		
Date of Interview: 28 February 2006.			
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☑ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☑ No. If Yes, brief description:			
Claim(s) discussed: 1,2 and (3-22 new claims)			
Identification of prior art discussed: 5987.336 (Sudo et al), 6121968 (Arcun et al.), 5940076 (Sommers et al)			
S 684970 (Asums et al.) Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) ✓ N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
Amendetet 2 appears allowable, pending further considerations			
Other claim améndent & new claims will be considered.			

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required